Eksplorium *p*-ISSN 0854-1418
Volume 46 No. 2, June 2025: 483–488 *e*-ISSN 2503-426X

World Trade Organization and the Indian Economy: An Analytical Perspective on Globalization

[1]Manisha Naskar, [2]Amrita Dasgupta, [3]Bulbul Roy Chowdhury, [3]Saptaparni Raha

[1]Assistant Professor

School of Law, Brainware University

E-mail ID: mn.law@brainwareuniversity.ac.in

[2]Assistant Professor

School of Law, Brainware University

E-mail ID: adg.law@brainwareuniversity.ac.in

[3]Assistant Professor

School of Law, Brainware University

E-mail ID: bulbulrc.1968@gmail.com

[4]Research Scholar,

School of Law, Brainware University

E-mail ID: saptaparniraha475@gmail.com

Article Received: 15 May 2025, Revised: 10 June 2025, Accepted: 21 June 2025

Abstract:

The global economy has undergone a profound transformation marked by accelerated globalization, driven primarily by transnational economic integration through trade, investment flows, and the strategic operations of multinational corporations. The twin forces of privatization in many market-oriented economies and the liberalization of trade and investment—initially under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and subsequently institutionalized through the World Trade Organization (WTO)—have significantly intensified the momentum of globalization. Since the late 20th century, the world has witnessed widespread economic liberalization, making globalization an increasingly irreversible phenomenon. The global economy has evolved into a complex, interdependent, and transnational system characterized by diminishing trade barriers and the enhanced mobility of goods, services, and capital. Cross-border trade and investment have consistently outpaced the growth of global output, indicating a deepening integration of national economies within a unified global framework. Developing countries are increasingly aligning with the economic structures of advanced economies, participating more actively in global trade networks. In the Indian context, the WTO has played a pivotal role in shaping the country's engagement with the global economy. As a WTO member, India enjoys Most-Favoured-Nation (MFN) status in its trade relations with all other member countries. The WTO's influence has been instrumental in embedding globalization trends within India's economic framework, catalyzing a significant economic transformation and ushering in a new era of global connectivity and competitiveness.

Key words: Globalization, GATT, WTO, liberalization, economic policy

I. INTRODUCTION

In the early 1990s, the Indian economy encountered a profound crisis marked by fiscal imbalances, accelerating inflation, and a critical balance of payments shortfall. In response, the newly elected Congress government in June 1991 initiated a series of sweeping economic reforms under the framework of the Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs), launched in

July 1991. These reforms sought to rectify longstanding distortions in the Indian economy, with a particular focus on the external sector—an area repeatedly emphasized by the Position Commission on Balance of Payments. Although prior reports had advocated for external sector liberalization, substantive reforms had remained largely unimplemented until this critical juncture.

The promulgation of 'World Trade Organization (WTO)' in 1995 aimed to foster global cooperation in trade, boost economic growth, and create job opportunities through fair and open trade. India, as a founding member of both the 'General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)' and the 'World Trade Organization (WTO)', advocates for a global approach to trade relations. In the WTO, decisions are primarily reached through agreement among the member countries and ratified by their respective legislatures. Trade disputes are resolved through the dispute settlement process of the WTO, this involves analysing agreements and verifying that members' trade policies adhere to them. This serves to prevent trade disputes from escalating into political or military confrontations

The WTO's agreements inculcate the legal framework for transnational trade, guaranteeing members' important trade rights and promoting transparent and predictable trade policies. These agreements provide a transparent and stable framework for businesses, exporters, and importers to conduct their activities, ultimately aiming to improve the welfare of WTO members' populations. For India, a developing agricultural nation, trade plays a crucial role in its economy, with agricultural exports contributing significantly to its GDP.

II. CONCEPT OF GLOBALIZATION TOWARDS TRANSFORMATIONAL ASPECT OF WTO FROM GATT:

From the close perusal of the historical perspective the concept of globalization is not a new phenomenon. The word 'globalization' was firstly introduced in the year of 1870 and the modern phase of globalization was introduced in the mid of 20th Century. The concept of globalization can broadly be classified into two ways which may be as follows:

Prior to the introduction of the '***World Trade Organization***', the provision of '***General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade***' (GATT) of 1948 established the only infrastructure for the international trade and commerce. After the '***2nd World War***' about 50 nations took part in the negotiations to establish 'International Trade Organization' towards promoting the dimension of transnational trade, but unfortunately the WTO was failed to achieve its basic objectives. The introduction of the GATT was for the time-being fulfilled the vacuum but it was silent before the new and emerging issues like trade and services, intellectual property rights aspects etc. Therefore, to achieve the progress in the international trading system a contemporary and innovative round of trade negotiations were introduced at '***Punte Del Este***' Uruguay in the year of 1986 which fostered the introduction of the 'World Trade Organization' on 1st January, 1995 to expand the enormous scope of globalization in the world-wide trade and commerce. Basically, the WTO is a formal and code regulated organization which has inherent dispute supplement mechanism. It mainly inculcates the regulation in respect of trade framework between the participating nations. Therefore, with reference to Article II of the 'World Trade Organization', the Scope of WTO may be described as follows:

i. The WTO offers a unified mechanism for its member nations to manage trade relations, specifically regarding agreements and related legal instruments.

ii. Article III of the 'World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreement', titled as "***National Treatment on Internal Taxation and Regulation***," encompasses the principles governing the treatment of goods among member countries. The functions of the WTO under Article III may be summarized as, Article III requires WTO members to accord national treatment to the goods of other members.

iii. This principle is articulated in Article III of the 'World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreement', which states as "The products of the territory of any Member imported into the territory of any other Member shall be accorded treatment no less favourable than that accorded to like products of national origin in respect of all laws, regulations and requirements affecting their internal sale, offering for sale, purchase, transportation, distribution or use." Article III also encompasses the 'principle of non-discrimination', which includes both 'Most-favoured-Nation (MFN)' treatment. This principle is stated in Article III, which says that "The contracting parties recognize that internal taxes and other internal charges, and laws, regulations and requirements affecting the internal sale, offering for sale, purchase, transportation, distribution or use of products, and internal quantitative regulations requiring the mixture, processing or use of products in specified amounts or proportions, should not be applied to imported or domestic products so as to afford protection to domestic production."

iv. Article III requires transparency in the application of tariffs and other trade-related measures. This aspect emphasizes the need for uniform and transparent application of tariffs and regulations. While not explicitly mentioned in Article III, the requirement for transparency is considered as a fundamental principle of the WTO agreements.

v. Article III allows for certain exceptions and flexibilities. These exceptions are outlined in Article III, which states as "The provisions of this Article shall not prevent the application of differential internal transportation charges which are based exclusively on the economic operation of the means of transport and not on the nationality of the product."

vi. The dispute settlement mechanism of WTO provides a means for resolving disputes arising from alleged violations of Article III. This procedure is regulated by the Understanding on Rules and Procedures for Dispute Settlement (DSU), which forms an essential component of the WTO Agreement.

III. IMPACT OF WTO ON INDIAN ECONOMY

The global business environment is profoundly shaped by the principles and agreements of the World Trade Organization (WTO), which has played a pivotal role in influencing India's economic trajectory since its inception. The WTO's impact on India is evident across multiple dimensions—ranging from trade policy reforms and regulatory frameworks to enhanced market access and integration with the global economy. Notably, the economic liberalization of the 1990s, along with India's participation in the Uruguay Round Agreement, marked a critical shift towards globalization. As a founding member, India benefits from the Most Favoured Nation (MFN) status with all WTO members, a significant advantage in expanding its international trade relations.

India has remained committed to its obligations under the WTO framework, actively participating through notifications and ratifications during successive trade policy reviews. The Foreign Trade Policy (FTP) continues to guide India's trade objectives, emphasizing increased global export share through incentives, deregulation, and structural reforms. Measures such as customs automation and digital trade facilitation tools have been introduced to streamline procedures and enhance efficiency. Despite these outward-oriented strategies, India’s trade policy retains a degree of protectionism, utilizing tariff and non-tariff measures to ensure domestic price stability, manage demand, and conserve resources. This analytical study explores the nuanced and evolving role of the WTO in shaping India's economic globalization, highlighting both opportunities and constraints within the current global trade architecture.

In confronting to the ‘COVID-19’ pandemic, the ‘Government of India’ launched the ‘***Atmanirbhar Bharat Abhiyan***’ program in May 2020. This initiative aims to provide stimulus across different sectors and segments of society to mitigate the impact of the health crisis. India made progress in socio-economic indicators and implemented policies for economic inclusion, including increased minimum support prices for agricultural products and subsidized food distribution under the National Food Security Act and further it continued to provide incentives for exports, while maintaining controls and regulations to support domestic industries and achieve food security goals. India's trade policies and practices reflect a balance between promoting exports, protecting domestic industries, and ensuring economic stability and social welfare. Despite facing challenges such as slowing growth and trade tensions, India remains committed to its trade objectives and continued integration into the global economy

India after joining the WTO the scope has been diversified in market access to other member countries. Through negotiations and agreements, India has gained preferential trade treatment and reduced trade barriers in numerous sectors, including agriculture, services, and industrial products. This has facilitated the growth of Indian exports and increased foreign direct investment inflows.

The WTO membership has impressed India to undertake several domestic economic reforms to align with international trade rules and regulations. These reforms have included initiatives to improve the business environment, liberalize foreign direct investment policies, strengthen intellectual property rights protection, and as well as the quota-free regime particularly in the textile industries. Therefore, such reforms immensely contributed to India's structural economic development and integration into the global economy.

The ‘World Trade Organization (WTO)’ is the sole global institution responsible for regulating trade rules among nations. India was a foundational member of the WTO since its inception in 1995, and has actively represented the interests of developing nations, including ‘Least Developed Countries (LDCs)’. In the realm of agriculture, particularly concerning food security, there is a pressing need for substantial progress on issues like ‘public stockholding’, ‘domestic support’, ‘market accesses, and the ‘Special Safeguard Mechanism’. India has advocated for a deep sustainable solution to ‘public stockholding’ (PSH), considering it a priority issue. This emphasis on PSH reflects India's commitment to ensuring food security through ‘public stockholding’ programs. The most significant focus of India is to secure a sustainable solution for public stockholding to ensure food security. The expected benefit for India from addressing these concerns lies in the potential improvement of agricultural export prospects, driven by an anticipated increase in global agricultural product prices resulting from reduced domestic subsidies and trade barriers. In the sixth Ministerial Conference from December 13 to 15, in 2005 at Hongkong was adopted to achieve the objective of the reduction or elimination of tariff. The Hongkong Ministerial Conference the Swiss formula for the purpose of the Industrial Tariffs and the Non-Agricultural Market Access (NAMA) which are primarily concerned with reduction of barriers to trade for non-agricultural products. The Swiss formula is as follows:

The Dispute Settlement Mechanism (DSM) of the World Trade Organization (WTO) has emerged as a critical platform for India to safeguard its trade interests and uphold equitable trading practices within the multilateral framework. By enabling the resolution of trade disputes through a structured and rules-based process, the DSM reinforces India's position in global commerce and ensures a level playing field among member nations. As a cornerstone of the international trade regime, the WTO's dispute resolution system contributes to economic stability by ensuring transparency, legal enforcement, and predictability. The mechanism operates through panels that issue initial rulings, which are subject to review and endorsement by the WTO membership, with further recourse available through the Appellate Body. Central to this process is the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB), composed of all WTO members and functioning under the aegis of the General Council. The DSB holds the exclusive mandate to establish panels, adopt rulings, and oversee the implementation of decisions. This structured approach has enabled India to assert its rights effectively in global trade negotiations, thereby reinforcing the importance of the WTO's DSM as a vital instrument of economic diplomacy and legal recourse.

Additionally, it supervises the enforcement of rulings and recommendations and can authorize retaliatory measures when a country doesn't comply with a ruling. The dispute settlement process unfolds in two primary stages. Initially, countries engaged in a dispute are required to engage in consultations for up to 60 days, with the aim of resolving their differences amicably. Should consultations prove fruitless, parties may seek the mediation or assistance of the WTO director-general. Subsequently, if consultations fail to yield a resolution, the complaining country may have the option to request the appointment of a panel. Initially, the defending country can reject panel formation once, but further tries depend on the Dispute Settlement Body's decision unless there's an agreement against panel appointment. Once appointed, the panel aids the Dispute Settlement Body in making rulings or recommendations. Despite its advisory role, the panel's reports are challenging to overturn, as consensus within the Dispute Settlement Body is required for rejection. The findings of the panel must align with the cited agreements. The panel typically issues its final report to the disputing parties within six months, with an expedited process of three months for urgent cases, such as those involving perishable goods. The panel operates according to a defined process outlined in the agreement. Key stages include the presentation of written arguments by each party before the first hearing, during which both sides present their cases. Subsequently, written rebuttals are submitted, followed by verbal arguments at the second meeting. In cases involving scientific or technical matters, the panel may seek input from experts or appoint an expert review group. Following these stages, the panel submits a descriptive report to the parties for comment, followed by an interim report containing findings and conclusions. If the panel ascertains that a disputed trade measure violates WTO agreements or obligations, it recommends corrective action. Additionally, the panel may provide suggestions on how compliance can be achieved. The panel's report converts to the decision and proposal of the 'Dispute Settlement Body' within 60 days, unless there's an agreement to reject. Both parties retain the right to appeal the report, a course often pursued by both sides. This process underscores the thoroughness and transparency of the WTO's dispute settlement mechanism, ensuring an impartial and fair resolution of trade disputes among member countries.

IV. CONCLUSION

Over the past twenty-five years, the world has witnessed a swift dismantling of economic barriers, facilitating an unprecedented flow of goods, services, and capital across borders. This surge in cross-border trade and investment has often outpaced the development of global governance mechanisms, highlighting the evolving dynamics of an increasingly interconnected global economy. As emerging economies grow and align with advanced nations, this shift has been further propelled by the widespread adoption of liberal economic policies—even among countries that were once resistant to such frameworks.While globalization has created vast opportunities for international business, it has also introduced significant risks, as exemplified by the global financial crises of 1997–1998. For businesses, the key to success lies in leveraging globalization while employing robust strategies to mitigate associated risks.In the Indian context, the impact of globalization has been multifaceted. On one hand, Indian consumers have gained access to a wider array of international products, and the government has benefited—albeit temporarily—from improved foreign exchange reserves via World Bank loans. On the other hand, globalization has led to a gradual erosion of economic sovereignty and has posed serious challenges to the sustainability of domestic industries. This analytical study underscores the need for a balanced approach to globalization, ensuring that economic integration complements national development objectives without compromising local interests.

REFERENCE:

[1] Bhagwati, J. N. (2004). *In Defense of Globalization*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/0195300034.001.0001
[2] Panagariya, A. (2008). *India: The Emerging Giant*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195315032.001.0001
[3] Hoekman, B. M., & Kostecki, M. M. (2009). *The Political Economy of the World Trading System: The WTO and Beyond* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
[4] World Trade Organization. (2023). *Trade Policy Review: India*. WTO Secretariat Report. Retrieved from https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tpr_e/tp_rep_e.htm
[5] Government of India. (2023). *Foreign Trade Policy 2023*. Ministry of Commerce and Industry.
Retrieved from https://www.dgft.gov.in
[6] Kumar, N., & Chadha, R. (2009). India's trade policy and the WTO. In R. R. Sharma (Ed.), *India and the WTO: Essays in honour of Arun Goyal* (pp. 45–72). Academic Foundation.
[7] Srinivasan, T. N. (2002). India and the WTO. *Economic and Political Weekly, 37*(30), 3139–3145.
https://www.jstor.org/stable/4412395
[8] Das, B. L. (1999). *The WTO Agreements: Deficiencies, Imbalances, and Required Changes*. Zed Books.
[9] Roy, D., & Banerjee, S. (2007). WTO and its impact on Indian economy: A critical review. *Journal of International Economics and Business*, 5(2), 99–116.
[10] Chaisse, J., & Matsushita, M. (2017). Maintaining the WTO's legitimacy: Examining the impact of trade liberalization and globalization. *World Trade Review, 16*(4), 585–612. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474745617000254