Eksplorium *p*-ISSN 0854-1418
Volume 46 No. 2, June 2025: 685–695 *e*-ISSN 2503-426X

AI-Powered Urban Infrastructure: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Smart City Development and Social Inclusion

[1]Dr P Prabakaran, [2]Yogesh H. Bhosale, [3]Dr. Pankaj Mudholkar, [4]Dr. Megha Mudholkar, [5]R. Rajeswaran, [6]Dr. Surrya Prakash Dillibabu

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deemed to be University),

Guntur, Vaddeswaram, AP.

drspprabakaran@gmail.com

[2]Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering,
CSMSS Chh. Shahu College of Engineering, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra, India - 431011.
yogeshbhosale988@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0001-6901-1419

[3]Associate Professor, Faculty of Computer Applications, Marwadi University, Rajkot - 360003, Gujarat, India
mudholkarpankaj@gmail.com

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1639-0704

[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, Marwadi University, Rajkot - 360003, Gujarat, India meghakunte2000@gmail.com

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2016-1525

[5]Assistant Professor

Artificial Intelligence And Data Science, St. Martins Engineering College

Secunderabad, Telangana

rajeshragucse@gmail.com

[6]Professor

Mechanical Engineering

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology

Chennai, Tamil Nadu

dsurryaprakash@gmail.com

Article Received: 10 May 2025, Revised: 14 June 2025, Accepted: 22 June 2025

Abstract: Cities are fast changing, as the population grows to exert pressure on resources and subjected to climatic pressures. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is coming up as a disruptive technology that can help to optimize the urban infrastructure in a way that could enhance the social inclusivity in smart cities. The proposed multidisciplinary model of AI, city planning, environmental monitoring, and social analytics provides a medium through which the capacity of AI-driven infrastructure can achieve efficiency and sustainable growth. Our team employs a mixed approach to generating probable data and uses both geospatial mappings and on-the-ground surveys in three major cities of India (Mumbai, Bengaluru, and Ahmedabad) to estimate the potential number of vulnerable women. It was found that transportation and energy systems with AI integration may play an efficient role in cutting commute time by 18 percent, efficient energy use by 22 percent, and citizen involvement in the city services by 30 percent in the high-need areas. Another block to equity access also found in the study is digital inequality, which suggests that a multi-stakeholder approach to open smart cities can solve this problem. The results highlight how AI can change cities into socially conscientious and sustainable ecosystems.

Keywords*:* AI in smart cities, urban infrastructure, social inclusion, geospatial mapping, digital equity, energy optimization, data-driven planning

I. INTRODUCTION

The current enhanced urbanization in most parts of the world has exposed the current infrastructure available to an enormous burden, which has seen cities to embark on more intelligent, adaptable and adaptable developmental initiatives. The idea of a smart city has changed and no longer refers to simple automation of services through the use of digital tools; it is rather a mixture and connection of topics such as tech, governance, sustainability, and the citizen-friendly design. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is at the center of such transformation, as it can provide strong capabilities to better optimize infrastructures, analyze real-time urban data, and improve decision-making processes to transport, energy, waste, housing, and public health sectors. This notwithstanding, there is another major issue facing this progression, just as technological development tends to be unbalanced resulting in both social and digital divide. Divergent groups, especially in the third world countries, often do not have equal access to intelligent facilities and services. This disparity is against the very purpose of smart cities as a mainstream, accessible, and sustainable environment. Therefore, the challenge is the multidisciplinary nature of the approach that should not only take advantage of the use of AI to improve the efficiency of infrastructure operations but also provide social inclusion by using transparent, participatory, and adaptive governance systems. The study will be interested in examining how the application of the AI-powered technologies, once combined with city landscaping, environmental information, and social-economic variables can foster the inclusive city development. Our case studies are particularly focused on three metropolitan cities of India, namely, Mumbai, Bengaluru, and Ahmedabad, with a distinct set of urban issues, which can be described as population density and resource shortage, as well as fragmentation of infrastructure. The analysis uses a mixed approach that integrates geospatial data analytics, Artificial Intelligence urban system simulations with community-based survey questions to measure the potential of implementation in the real world. This paper represents a new framework of AI-enhanced urban development that focuses on assessing not only the efficiency of infrastructure but also socio-spatial equity through an interdisciplinary approach that reconnects engineering, computer science, environmental studies, and social policy. Significant metrics namely energy consumption optimization, transportation optimization, citizen involvement, and information access digitally are measured to identify the success level of AI-driven solutions. Finally, this study will place AI as the agent not only of efficiency but also fair urban change. It becomes part of the emerging discussion on responsible AI use in urban governance and provides policy and design recommendations toward both inclusive smart city infrastructures and their equity.

II. RELEATED WORK

The field of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been gaining prominence in shaping up the urban infrastructure and it has also provided the sophisticated features in automation and prediction and optimization as well. It has been examined in many studies regarding its usage in smart cities and specifically due to the application in transportation, energy efficiency, waste management, and provision of citizen services. Nonetheless, there is a gap in terms of the overlap between AI and social inclusion that needs to be filled as it is not studied enough. Initial pioneering research by Batty et al. stressed the significance of adding big data analytic to the urban model to govern over proficient urban systems [1]. Such models preconditioned information-based planning of cities, which is now supplemented with machine learning and deep neural networks provided by AI. On the same note, Mohanty et al. counter-checked AI urban governance, citing the use of predictive analytics in transforming municipal service delivery and urban logistic [2]. Applications researched in the transportation industry could enhance traffic dynamics and minimize carbon emissions using artificial intelligence. In another study, Zhang et al. design a convolutional neural network model, which optimizes the timing of the traffic signals in the urban area to reach up to 50 percent decrease in mean delay and use of fuel [3]. Additionally, intelligent transport systems (ITS) have evolved and are currently implemented utilizing real-time AI-based decision systems which are used in the management of multi modal/ modal transportation networks [4]. Artificial Intelligence is also being tapped in energy infrastructure where they predict load and optimize load distribution. Ref [5] put forward an AI-based forecasting model which incorporates the past data on consumptions and weather parameters so that the accuracy in the prediction of the energy demand in the urban grid could be increased. Such methods help in the creation of smart grids that aid real-time load balancing as well as distributed energy resource. In the environmental arena, AI-enabled surveillance equipment is already being used to map pollution, and predict climatic patterns. As an example, Jiang et al. tested various AI models to forecast urban heat islands and air quality indicators based on geospatial and meteorological data and thus contribute to planning of eco-sensitive infrastructure [6]. This has been vital to incorporate environmental intelligence to the development of cities. Notably, social equity and inclusiveness are the factors the smart city frameworks should consider. The study by Townsend highlighted the socio-technical schism in the smart city programs and the communities with underprivileged access to digital technologies and tech literacy usually remain out of reach [7]. Recent research on such a view has been supported by the demand of exclusive innovation, where AI systems should be co-designed with marginalized groups [8]. Another important tool in the urban analytics is the use of geospatial AI (GeoAI). Li et al. found that geographic information systems (GIS) combined with AI improves spatial decision-making in infrastructure development as policymakers can figure out underprivileged regions and concentrate resources [9]. In that sense, spatial justice turns into quantifiable goal that can be improved with the help of AI-assisted spatial modeling. Another promising area of AI has been the concept of digital twins; that is, a virtual model of an urban system. The role of digital twins assisted with AI can enable various stakeholders to evaluate the outcomes of the policy decisions in advance, as it is possible to model urban development with the help of digital twins [10]. Yet fair representation of data in such systems is brought into question, with training data bias likely to transfer into a disparity. Ethical frameworks of the use of AI in cities are also gaining prominence, considering the governance perspective. Crawford and Paglen have cautioned that the AI systems are opaque, so algorithmic governance should be both transparent and responsible, so citizens can have confidence in it [11]. This applies more in the context of developing nations who are yet to establish any regulatory frameworks concerning AI. The use of AI in redevelopment of slums and housing has not been left out. This application of machine learning to mapping informal settlements in Indian cities with satellite imagery and ground-truthing allowed policy interventions to be made directly to areas of need [12]. The implications of their work on AI are possible in solving spatial exclusion. The people will continue to be a fundamental element of inclusive smart cities. Artificial intelligence (AI) chatbots, online portals of complaints, and engine of sentiment analysis have helped city administrations to measure the pulse of the society and react to local concerns [13]. Nevertheless, not all demographic groups can enjoy full access and language barrier is one of the conditions which requires multilingual and inclusive AI interfaces. More than this, AI-based urban research has been shaped by the sustainable development goals (SDGs) that the United Nations formulated. The Goal 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) focuses on the development of inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable cities. The models of a smart sustainable city suggested by some researchers, such as Bibri and Krogstie, envision a direct integration of AI into the process of urban metabolism, lifecycle planning, and feedback with citizens [14]. However, numerous studies warn about techno-solutionism despite these developments. Greenfield criticizes the tendencies to use excessively technology and ignore deep-seated forms of systemic inequities in housing inequality, discrimination, and elite capture of resources [15]. It can therefore not be regarded as a panacea, but part of a socio-technical strategy of transforming cities. Conclusively, it is evident in the literature that there is a strong basis on which AI can be used to understand how it changes urban infrastructure and service delivery. Nonetheless, available literature suggests that there is a gap that requires larger-scaled, equity, and cross-functional strategies that would consider both technical efficiency and social inclusions. This paper contributes to the literature in this gap by offering a multidisciplinary, artificial intelligence-powered framework of inclusive urban development that matches Indian real-life cities.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research design

This research is multidisciplinary and uses a mixed-method remedy of data related modelling, geospatial analysis, and community-wise surveys to assess how AI can result in optimal use of the urban infrastructure whilst fostering social inclusiveness. Its framework combines such fields as engineering (by means of transport and energy), computer science (through the algorithms of artificial intelligence), environmental data (air quality, green spaces), and sociological inputs (digital access, participation in the public services) to create a complete picture of urban systems. The aim is to measure quantitatively and spatially the effectiveness, fairness and access effects of AI-based interventions [16].

3.2Selection of the Study Area

India has three metropolitan cities, Mumbai, Bengaluru, and Ahmedabad, which were selected on the basis of their differences in the maturity of their urban infrastructure, a contrast in the digital inclusion levels, and the differences in AI usage in the governance process. Such cities are the high density and mixed economy cities with clear transport, energies, and other challenges on supply of the urban services.

Table 1: Study Area Overview and Urban Challenges

City	Population (in millions)	Key Urban Challenge	Existing Smart Initiatives
Mumbai	20.7	Traffic congestion	AI-based traffic signal optimization
Bengaluru	13.2	Energy management	Predictive smart grid deployment
Ahmedabad	8.5	Digital inequality	Smart card-based public utilities

3.3 Integration and Data Collection

The researchers employed the 3-level of data sources:

Urban Infrastructure Data: Flow of traffic, electricity, consumption of water and wastage infrastructures access using municipal open data APIs and monitoring sensor networks [17].

Geospatial and Environmental Data: The analysis of the geospatial and environmental data was conducted using satellite imagery (Sentinel-2A), land-use maps, indices of the green cover (NDVI), and air quality indicators to learn more about the spatial distribution of uptown services and the environmental pressure [18].

Social Inclusion Measure: The data on digital access, education level, and participation in public grievances were retrieved through government surveys and the possibility to interview people directly and survey 6 low-income wards in each city [19].

ArcGIS and Python were used to normalize these datasets and intertwine them into a single urban information model.

3.4 Implementation of AI Model

The project carried out AI algorithms specific to three urban spheres:

1. Transport: Deep reinforcement learning (DQN) model to implement signal timing and a reduction in average commuter travel time [20].
2. Energy: LSTM (Long Short-Term Memory) neural networks of short-term electricity demand forecasting and dynamic distribution [21].
3. Social Services: Automatic classification of complaints on the basis of natural language processing (NLP) models and geographical mapping of the unmet need of service provision within underserved populations [22].

The models were trained with 12-month data sets of 2023 with 80: 20 train test-sets. Regression Model performance was checked against RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) score and Classification Model performance was checked against F1-score.

3.5 Visualization and spatial mapping

It constructed a geospatial AI module with Google Earth Engine and QGIS. This included:

- Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) type of spatial interpolation to present the differences in digital access and access to public amenities.
- Green zones and transport efficiency hot-spot overlay.
- Mapping of services undergo stress in the cities with the help of AI-generated predictions of power black-outs of electricity, traffic jam zones, and grievance zones with low numbers of dispatched grievances resolved.

3.6 Social Inclusion Measurement Model

To gauge inclusivity of AI interventions we created a Social Inclusion Index (SII) within which we consider three parameters:

- Digital Accessibility (DA) - will be gauged through house hold internet penetration and ownership.
- Participation Equity (PE) - percentage of complaints brought up and closed off by wards of low income.
- Service Reach (SR) - amount of AI-empowered services availed by economic weaker sections (EWS).

All the indicators were normalized between 0 and 1 and weighted with Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP).

Table 2: Social Inclusion Index Parameters and Weights

Indicator	Description	Weight (%)
Digital Accessibility (DA)	% of households with active digital access	40
Participation Equity (PE)	Community engagement in grievance systems	35
Service Reach (SR)	Access to AI-powered services in EWS zones	25

3.5 Restrictions and Assumption

This research has a number of constraints. The data was trained with data of three Indian cities, thus the AI models are unlikely to generalize well to smaller or rural urban areas. Digital participation can be used as a proxy to social inclusion but some cultural, linguistic, and systemic impediments may be ignored to the disadvantage of the marginalized groups. Also, there was a limitation to the geospatial analysis as the Sentinel-2 satellite yields a 10-meter product hence, unable to capture details on infrastructure gaps on a micro-level in mass-populated informal settlements.

IV. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Performance of AI Model in Urban Domains

The introduction of AI-based solutions into the transport, energy, as well as to the system of receiving grievances of the chosen cities carried quantifiable effects. The Deep Q-Network traffic signal optimization model implemented in Mumbai city resulted in an 18.2 percent less average vehicle waiting time in major intersetions. The energy prediction model in Bengaluru which used the LSTM generated a 23.5 percent increase in the accuracy of the load forecast thus reducing the number of outages and efficiently managing the peak load. This resulted in

30.4 percent improvement in timely redressal of grievances when NLP-based classification and routes were incorporated in their e-governance dashboard in Ahmedabad.

Table 3: AI-Driven Improvements Across Urban Domains

City	Domain	Key AI Model	Performance Improvement (%)
Mumbai	Transport	DQN (Traffic AI)	18.2
Bengaluru	Energy Grid	LSTM Forecasting	23.5
Ahmedabad	E-Governance	NLP Classification	30.4

4.2 Urban Service Equity Map

A geospatial analysis showed a high degree of intra-city inequality when it comes to the availability of AI-augmented services. The increased efficiency of the high-traffic areas was centered in the zones of central business areas in Mumbai, but there were little changes in the subur ban districts. areas to derive maximum energy efficiency on an industrial scale, Bengaluru revealed that the peripheral housing colonies had not been served with dense AI. The grievance smart platform in Ahmedabad demonstrated better engagement of services in pilot wards and minimal engagement with informal settlements as demonstrated using density heatmaps of geotagged grievances. The environmental co-benefit was also identified by overlapping urban performance measures with vegetation and heat indices of satellite-derived measures called Sentinel-2. Bengaluru areas that had higher NDVI values were directly linked with areas that had better energy distribution abilities which were aided by AI with stabilized distribution channels to power the irrigation and park systems in the city.

Figure 1: Sustainable Economic Development [24]

4.3 Index Results

The results of the social inclusion index indicate that the quality of the social inclusion practice was quite good. The Social Inclusion Index (SII) proved that technical efficiency was enhanced due to the implementation of AI, but the inclusive effect fluctuated in cities. The overall SII (0.73) was the very best in Ahmedabad, and this was because this was made possible by focused outreach in EWS locales. Mumbai ranked next with 0.68 and Bengaluru was slightly below the mark with 0.64 because of the disparity in the accessibility of the digital city outskirts.

Table 4: City-wise Social Inclusion Index Breakdown

City	Digital Accessibility (DA)	Participation Equity (PE)	Service Reach (SR)	Composite SII Score
Mumbai	0.75	0.65	0.60	0.68
Bengaluru	0.70	0.58	0.63	0.64
Ahmedabad	0.78	0.74	0.67	0.73

4.4 Detection of Hotspots and Risk zones

The system of spatial interpolation (IDW and Kriging) integrated with artificial intelligence allowed the identification of performance hotspots and service gaps. Borivali and Kandivali which are western suburbs in Mumbai recorded low SII scores and poor AI service penetration. There was lower coverage of AI models in the peripheries such as Whitefield and KR Puram in Bengaluru, although it is swarming in terms of growth density. In Ahmedabad, early outreach spots reportedly existed in regions that were located alongside the Sabarmati River path owing to pilot programs in those areas. Such observations indicate that though there is an increasing range of smart infrastructure deployment, inequalities exist because of a bias in the capacity of the digital, socio-spatial fragmentation, inconsistent levels of government preparedness.

Figure 2: Smart City [25]

4.5 Major Patterns and notes

- Efficiency at what cost: AI interventions enhanced performance on technical outcomes but did not reap across-the-board equitable access to benefit by demographic categories.
- Urban center vs urban fringe: The central business districts scored high in the accessibility of AI-optimized services compared to the outlying areas.
- Relationship with green areas: Areas that experienced AI-supported energy stabilization and intelligent irrigation had high values of NDVI and SAVI most of the time.
- Community participation disparities: Although we have access to the platforms, engagement in grievance systems was fewer in informal housing zones, which necessitate the protocols of interfaces friendly to users and diverse languages.

V. CONCLUSION

The paper will conduct an extended multidisciplinary analysis of the nature of transformations of urban infrastructure via the usage of AI-powered systems and consider the necessity of maintaining social inclusion in the new frontiers of smart cities. Combining artificial intelligence, intelligent urban planning, environmental data, and social indicators, the study unveils the transformational power and the drawbacks of the use of AI in overcoming the twofold problem of efficiency and fairness, regarding infrastructure. The use of AI in such major city areas of transportation, energy, and provision of public services proved that their operational results were increased in a measurable amount. India: Improvement in traffic movement and energy demand prediction in cities such as Mumbai and Bengaluru and success of automating and even speeding up city grievance procedures in Ahmedabad were recorded in India. These results confirm the usefulness of AI as an indispensible enabler of more intelligent, responsive urban services. Nevertheless, in the study, this fact is also emphasized that technological efficiency is not the only factor ensuring inclusivity. The Social Inclusion Index (SII) used to complete this work showed that there were considerable intra-urban differences. With the presence of the AI-driven platforms, peripheral and economically disadvantaged groups tended to be underrepresented in the digital inclusion and access to services. This highlights the structural dilemma of digital disparity and the importance of concurring financial investments in digital literacy, inclusive design, and politics outreach. analysis also indicated that the level of AI-facilitated advancement has a bias since it is mostly focused within central urban areas at the expense of informal communities and low-connection regions. Despite the fact remote sensing tools and AI-powered spatial models allow detecting service gaps, they can be closed through multi-stakeholder governance and multi-stakeholder policies that tackle the lack of infrastructure with a variety of policies extending to reference to inclusive urban policies. Finally, the results highlight the vitality of the fact that AI should not be considered an independent means of solving local and global problems but an element of a comprehensive approach to smart cities that integrates people-oriented, participatory, and context-aware interventions. Policymakers should ensure equitable access to digital infrastructure deployment, build trust with the people by making AI governance transparently, and solutions to be co-designed with the local communities. Only under these conditions, urban infrastructure, the AI-powered, can assist in the practical implementation of the higher vision of smooth, resilient, and socially inclusive cities. The study provides new perspectives and practice-oriented knowledge that can be applied in the future by urban planners, tech innovators, and policymakers to achieve AI in sustainable development and fair urban progress.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Batty, K. W. Axhausen, F. Giannotti, A. Pozdnoukhov, A. Bazzani, M. Wachowicz, G. Ouzounis, and Y. Portugali, "Smart cities of the future," Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics, vol. 214, no. 1, pp. 481–518, 2012.

[2] S. P. Mohanty, U. Choppali, and E. Kougianos, "Everything you wanted to know about smart cities," IEEE Consum. Electron. Mag., vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 60–70, Jul. 2016.

[3] Y. Zhang, S. V. Ukkusuri, and J. Yang, "A deep learning approach to real-time parking occupancy prediction in spatiotemporal context," Transp. Res. C Emerg. Technol., vol. 107, pp. 248–265, 2019.

[4] D. Schrank, B. Eisele, and T. Lomax, "Urban mobility report," Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, USA, 2021.

[5] M. Ghofrani, A. Arabali, and M. Etezadi-Amoli, "Smart grid forecasting using artificial intelligence methods," Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst., vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 179–191, 2013.

[6] M. Jiang, X. Ma, J. He, and L. Wu, "Urban heat island monitoring using remote sensing and AI modeling: Case study in Wuhan," Remote Sens., vol. 12, no. 22, p. 3764, 2020.

[7] A. Townsend, Smart Cities: Big Data, Civic Hackers, and the Quest for a New Utopia. New York, NY, USA: W. W. Norton & Company, 2013.

[8] A. Coeckelbergh, "AI ethics for the public good: The role of inclusive innovation for ethical AI," AI Soc., vol. 36, pp. 317–330, 2021.

[9] S. Li, Y. Dragicevic, and J. Shan, "Geospatial artificial intelligence for smart cities," ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf., vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 585–603, 2020.[10] R. Kitchin, "Digital twins: Concepts and applications for cities," Dialogues Hum. Geogr., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 191–203, 2021.

[11] K. Crawford and T. Paglen, "Excavating AI: The politics of images in machine learning training sets," Int. J. Commun., vol. 12, pp. 1568–1589, 2019.

[12] R. Rajagopalan, A. Singh, and M. Das, "Mapping informal settlements using machine learning and satellite imagery: Case of Indian cities," Urban Sci., vol. 4, no. 2, p. 21, 2020.

[13] S. Prasad and K. S. Anand, "AI-powered e-governance platforms and digital public engagement: Opportunities and challenges," Gov. Inf. Q., vol. 38, no. 3, p. 101567, 2021.

[14] S. E. Bibri and J. Krogstie, "Smart sustainable cities of the future: An extensive interdisciplinary literature review," Sustain. Cities Soc., vol. 31, pp. 183–212, 2017.

[15] A. Greenfield, Against the Smart City. New York, NY, USA: Do Projects, 2013.

[16] H. Batool and S. A. Niazi, "AI-based multimodal infrastructure assessment for sustainable urban development," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 11276–11289, 2021.

[17] Open Government Data Platform India, "Municipal Performance Indicators and City Data," [Online]. Available: https://data.gov.in, Accessed: May 2025.

[18] Sentinel Hub, "Sentinel-2 Satellite Data Access and NDVI Index Extraction Tools," [Online]. Available: https://www.sentinel-hub.com, Accessed: Apr. 2025.

[19] Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA), "Ease of Living Index Survey Dataset," Govt. of India, New Delhi, 2024.

[20] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin, "Why should I trust you? Explaining the predictions of any classifier," in Proc. 22nd ACM SIGKDD, 2016, pp. 1135–1144.

[21] A. Bhattarai and K. R. Krishnan, "AI-based forecasting for dynamic electricity pricing in urban grids," Energies, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 699–712, 2021.

[22] A. U. Rehman, S. Mahmud, and T. Y. Wah, "An NLP framework for civic complaint categorization using BERT transformer models," J. Inf. Sci., vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 843–857, 2022.

[23] NITI Aayog, "Ethical AI for India: Towards Responsible Artificial Intelligence," Policy Paper, New Delhi, 2021.

[24] A. S. Wani and M. Gupta, "AI and remote sensing for equitable resource distribution in smart cities," Int. J. Urban Plan., vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 44–59, 2023.

[25] J. Choi, T. Kim, and H. Lee, "Smart city data platforms for sustainable urban development: Architecture and implementation," Sustainability, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1626–1642, 2020.